# A GLOBAL CONVOLUTION DIAGRAM FOR $\mathcal{R}$ 
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#### Abstract

The aim of this appendix is to give another proof of the commutativity of the Coulomb branch by constructing a global convolution diagram for $\mathcal{R}$. This is a direct generalization of the traditional proof of the case $\mathbf{N}=0$, which uses the Beilinson-Drinfeld global convolution diagram for $G r$.


## 1. Preliminaries on arc-Spaces and loop-Spaces

1.1. In this section, we recall certain standard constructions and facts of [3], Chapters 4-5.
1.2. Let $X$ be a smooth complex curve and let $S$ be a finite set. Given a commutative ring $R$ and an $R$-point $x$ of $X^{S}$, we denote the coordinates of $x$ by $x_{s}$ $(s \in S)$, and write $\Delta_{S}(x)$ for the formal neighborhood of the union of the graphs of $x_{s}(s \in S)$. For notational simplicity, we frequently remove commas and braces from $S$, and also drop the part $(x)$, when it is clear which point we refer to. So for example the expression:

$$
\Delta_{\{1,2\}}(x)
$$

becomes:
$\Delta_{12}$.
1.3. Now fix an affine algebraic group $A$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the following functor from commutative rings to groups over $X^{S}$ :

$$
A_{S}(R):=\left\{(x, f) \mid x \in X^{S}(R), f: \Delta_{S} \rightarrow A\right\}
$$

Then $A_{S}$ is represented by the limit of a projective system of smooth affine group schemes over $X^{S}$ :

$$
A_{S}=\underset{\leftarrow}{\lim }\left(\ldots \rightarrow\left(A_{S}\right)_{2} \rightarrow\left(A_{S}\right)_{1}\right)
$$

such that each transition morphism is a smooth homomorphism. In particular, $A_{S}$ is a formally smooth affine group scheme (of countably infinite type) over $X^{S}$, but this is not so important for us. Recall that in the definition of the Coulomb branch as a convolution algebra formal homological shifts such as

$$
[2 \operatorname{dim} A(\mathcal{O})]
$$

appear (for $A=G, N$ ). Similarly, in the global situation formal homological shifts such as

$$
\left[2 \operatorname{dim} A_{S}\right]
$$

will appear ${ }^{1}$. For example, in the case where the underlying space is $A_{S}$, for each $d$ we have $\omega_{\left(A_{S}\right)_{d}} \cong \mathbb{C}_{\left(A_{S}\right)_{d}}\left[2 \operatorname{dim}\left(A_{S}\right)_{d}\right]$. These complexes are compatible in the

[^0]natural way under !-pullbacks along the transition morphisms. We thus consider $\omega_{A_{S}}$ as the formal homological shift
$$
\omega_{A_{S}} \cong \mathbb{C}_{A_{S}}\left[2 \operatorname{dim} A_{S}\right]
$$
where both sides are to be understood by evaluating on smooth quotients of $A_{S}$ and 'piecing together' using !-pullbacks. Likewise we have a formal expression
$$
\omega_{A_{S}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} A_{S}\right] \cong \mathbb{C}_{A_{S}}
$$
where both sides are to be understood by evaluating on the smooth quotients $\left(A_{S}\right)_{d}$ of $A_{S}$ and 'piecing together' using $*$-pullbacks.
1.4. Let $\theta: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of finite sets. It induces a map $X^{S} \rightarrow X^{S^{\prime}}$. Given an $R$-point $x$ of $X^{S}$, this map determines an $R$-point $x^{\prime}$ of $X^{S^{\prime}}$, and an embedding $\Delta_{S^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Delta_{S}(x)$. Hence by restriction along this embedding we obtain a map
$$
p^{\theta}: A_{S} \rightarrow A_{S^{\prime}}
$$

This induces a homomorphism

$$
q^{\theta}: A_{S} \rightarrow A_{S^{\prime}} \times{ }_{X^{S^{\prime}}} X^{S}
$$

over the base $X^{S}$. If $\theta$ is surjective, then $q^{\theta}$ is an isomorphism. If $\theta$ is injective, then $q^{\theta}$ seems strange at first sight. For instance if $\theta^{\prime}$ is a section of $\theta$ then $q^{\theta}$ is an isomorphism over the resulting copy of $X^{S^{\prime}} \subset X^{S}$, whereas over a typical point of $X^{S}, q^{\theta}$ takes the form of a projection map

$$
A(\mathcal{O})^{S} \rightarrow A(\mathcal{O})^{S^{\prime}}
$$

However, this is misleading: $q^{\theta}$ is pro-smooth when $\theta$ is injective. What we mean by this is that the projective systems of smooth affine group schemes over $X^{S}$ with smooth transition morphisms

$$
\left(\left(A_{S}\right)_{d}\right)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

underlying $A_{S}$ may be taken, simultaneously for all $S$, to be compatible with all $q^{\theta}$, i.e. so that $q^{\theta}$ is the limit of a morphism

$$
\left(q_{d}^{\theta}:\left(A_{S}\right)_{d} \rightarrow\left(A_{S^{\prime}}\right)_{d} \times_{X^{S^{\prime}}} X^{S}\right)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

of projective systems, where each map $q_{d}^{\theta}$ is a smooth homomorphism over $X^{S}$. Thus, it makes sense to write (and is true that):

$$
\left(p^{\theta}\right)^{*} \omega_{A_{S^{\prime} \times{ }_{X}{ }^{\prime}}\left(X^{S}\right)}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} A_{S^{\prime}}-2\left(|S|-\left|S^{\prime}\right|\right)\right]=\omega_{A_{S}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} A_{S}\right]
$$

et cetera, where the formula should be understood as a statement about complexes on smooth quotients over $X^{S}$, compatible under $*$-pullbacks.

Example 1.1. Consider the case $S=\{1\}$. Then $A_{1}$ is a Zariski-locally trivial $A(\mathcal{O})$-bundle over $X$. Then, the formal homological shift $[2 \operatorname{dim} A(\mathcal{O})]$ also makes sense in this context, and we have $[2 \operatorname{dim} A(\mathcal{O})]=\left[2 \operatorname{dim} A_{1}-2\right]$.
Example 1.2. Consider for instance the case $A=\mathbb{C}$ and $S=\{1,2\}$. Then $A_{12}$ should be thought of as a deformation of the first following projective system into the second:

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}[[t]] / t^{2 d}\right)_{d} \rightsquigarrow\left(\mathbb{C}[[t]] / t^{d} \times \mathbb{C}[[t]] / t^{d}\right)_{d}
$$

while $A_{1}$ should be thought of as a trivial deformation:

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}[[t]] / t^{d}\right)_{d} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad\left(\mathbb{C}[[t]] / t^{d}\right)_{d}
$$

and we have the morphism of deformations of projective systems:

where the first downward arrow is the quotient map, and the second downward arrow is the projection map (to the first factor), both of which halve dimension in the $d^{t h}$ approximation. It just happens that the limit of the first downward arrow is an isomorphism, while the limit of the second downward arrow is a non-trivial projection.
1.5. From now on, we assume $\theta$ is an injection, and identify $S^{\prime}$ with its image under $\theta$. Now, in addition to the formal neighborhood $\Delta_{S}$ we have the punctured formal neighborhood

$$
\Delta_{S}^{S^{\prime}}(x):=\Delta_{S}(x)-\cup_{s \in S^{\prime}} x_{s}
$$

where in this formula we conflate the point $x_{s}$ with its graph. The general notational paradigm ${ }^{2}$ here is that subscripts determine discs and superscripts determine punctures. Consider the functor

$$
A_{S}^{S^{\prime}}(R):=\left\{(x, f) \mid x \in X^{S}(R), f: \Delta_{S}^{S^{\prime}}(x) \rightarrow A\right\}
$$

Then $A_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$ is represented by an ind-scheme, formally smooth over $X^{S}$. It is a group in ind-schemes (over $X^{S}$ ), but not an inductive limit of groups. Nonetheless, it is an ind-locally nice, reasonable ind-scheme in the sense of [2], meaning that it is a direct limit of closed embeddings with finitely generated ideals:

$$
\left(A_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{1} \rightarrow\left(A_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \ldots
$$

of schemes over $X^{S}$, each of which is locally nice, meaning that Zariski-locally ${ }^{3}$ it is the product of a finite-type scheme with an affine space (of countable dimension). We shall call such an ind-scheme reasonably nice. The subgroup $A_{S}$ may be taken as the first subscheme $\left(A_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{1}$ in this inductive structure. The left- and right-regular actions of the subgroup $A_{S}$ preserve the inductive structure, meaning that each $\left(A_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{c}$ has an action on both sides by $A_{S}$ over $X^{S}$, even though it is not itself a group. Moreover the quotient $\left(A_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{c} / A_{S}$ is of finite-type over $X^{S}$, and flat, although generally quite singular. The result is that the quotient

$$
A_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / A_{S}
$$

has the structure of ind-finite-type flat ind-scheme over $X^{S}$.
Lemma 1.3. (1) $A_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / A_{S}$ is ind-projective if and only if $A$ is reductive.
(2) $A_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / A_{S}$ is reduced if and only if $A$ has non no-trivial characters.

Remark 1.4. $A_{S}^{S}$ is the Beilinson-Drinfeld grassmannian (on $|S|$ points).

[^1]1.6. For any chain of inclusions $S^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\theta^{\prime}} S^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\theta} S$ we have natural maps
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
p^{\theta}: A_{S}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow A_{S^{\prime}}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \\
q^{\theta}: A_{S}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow A_{S^{\prime}}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \times_{X^{S^{\prime}}} X^{S}
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

defined as in subsection 1.4. Then $q^{\theta}: A_{S}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow A_{S^{\prime}}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \times{ }_{X^{\prime}} X^{S}$ has as a subgroup $q^{\theta}: A_{S} \rightarrow A_{S^{\prime}} \times{ }_{X^{S^{\prime}}} X^{S}$, and the resulting map

$$
A_{S}^{S^{\prime \prime}} / A_{S} \rightarrow\left(A_{S^{\prime}}^{S^{\prime \prime}} / A_{S^{\prime}}\right) \times_{X^{S^{\prime}}} X^{S}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Warning 1.5. Observe that $A_{S}^{S^{\prime \prime}}$ is an ind- $A_{S^{\prime \prime}}$-torsor over the ind-scheme $\left(A_{S^{\prime}}^{S^{\prime \prime}} / A_{S^{\prime}}\right) \times \times_{X^{S^{\prime}}}$ $X^{S}$, and the homomorphism $q^{\theta}: A_{S}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow A_{S^{\prime}}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \times{ }_{X^{S^{\prime}}} X^{S}$ is surjective. It is tempting therefore to try to view $A_{S}^{S^{\prime \prime}}$ as being in some sense a torsor over $A_{S^{\prime}}^{S^{\prime \prime}} \times{ }_{X^{S^{\prime}}} X^{S}$ for some group $\operatorname{ker} q^{\theta}$. However, the kernel of the projective system

$$
\left(\left(A_{S}\right)_{d} \rightarrow\left(A_{S^{\prime}}\right)_{d} \times_{X^{S^{\prime}}} X^{S}\right)_{d \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

of subsection 1.4 is not Mittag-Leffler. We are not sure how to overcome this issue, so do not attempt to take this point of view.

## 2. Global convolution diagram for $\mathcal{R}$

2.1. For a finite set $S$, we put

$$
\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}(R)=\{(x, \mathcal{E}, f, \tilde{v})\} / \sim
$$

where $x \in X^{S}(R), \mathcal{E}$ is a principal $G$-bundle on $\Delta_{S}, f$ is a trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$ on $\Delta_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$, and $\tilde{v}$ is an $\mathbf{N}$-section of $\mathcal{E}$, taken up to equivalence. This is the same as the balanced product

$$
\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}=G_{S}^{S^{\prime}} \frac{\times_{X^{S}}}{G_{S}} \mathbf{N}_{S}
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$ is represented by a reasonably nice ind-scheme with an ind-pro-smooth map to the Beilinson-Drinfeld grassmannian $G_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / G_{S}$. In particular it is formally smooth. Multiplication gives us a map

$$
\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}
$$

and we define $\mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$ to be the fiber product

$$
\mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}:=\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbf{N}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}} \mathbf{N}_{S}
$$

Over any closed $X^{S}$-subscheme of $G_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / G_{S}$, the embedding $\mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$ has finite codimension. Therefore $\mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$ is also a reasonably nice ind-scheme, mapping to $G_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / G_{S}$, and of ind-finite codimension in $\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$. Note that $\mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$ is not formally smooth, and in particular the $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow G_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / G_{S}$ is no longer ind-pro-smooth. As a functor we have

$$
\mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}(R)=\{(x, \mathcal{E}, f, v)\} / \sim
$$

where $x, \mathcal{E}, f$ are as in $\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$, and $v$ is an $\mathbf{N}$-section of $\mathcal{E}$ such that $f(v)$ extends $^{4}$ to $\Delta_{S}$. We define the shifted dualizing complex on $\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}, \mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$ as for $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}$. Namely:

[^2](1) On each closed subscheme $\left(\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{c}$ of $\left(\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{c}$, pro-smooth over $\left(G_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / G_{S}\right)^{c}$ we set
$$
\omega_{\left(\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{S}+2|S|\right]
$$
to be the pullback of the dualizing complex of $\left(G_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / G_{S}\right)^{c}$, i.e. the collection of its pullbacks to each formally smooth quotient $\left(\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)_{d}^{c}$ of $\left(\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)_{d}^{c}$ smooth over $\left(G_{S}^{S^{\prime}} / G_{S}\right)^{c}$, compatible under $*$-pullback;
(2) Since $\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$ is a reasonably nice ind-scheme, we can apply the !-pullback to such a collection of complexes on $\left(\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{c}$, and obtain one on $\left(\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}\right)^{c-1}$. In this way, the collections $\omega_{\left(\mathcal{T}_{S}^{\prime}\right)^{c}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{S}+2|S|\right]$ are compatible under !pullbacks. The resulting compatible collection is called $\omega_{\mathcal{T}_{S}^{\prime}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{S}+2|S|\right]$.
(3) Using the ind-finite codimensionality of the embedding $i: \mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$, we form a !-compatible collection of $*$-compatible collections of complexes
$$
\omega_{\mathcal{R}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{S}+2|S|\right]:=i^{!} \omega_{\mathcal{T}_{S}^{S^{\prime}}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{S}+2|S|\right]
$$
2.2. We will apply the abbreviations of subsection 1.2 to our spaces $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}$ etc. so that for instance
$$
\mathcal{R}_{\{1,2\}}^{\{2\}}
$$
becomes
$$
\mathcal{R}_{12}^{2}
$$

We will also write $X^{S}$ as $\Pi_{s \in S} X_{s}$, e.g. $X^{\{1,2\}}=X_{1} \times X_{2}$. The obvious starting point for the global convolution diagram is $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}$, a Zariski-locally trivial $\mathcal{R}$ bundle over $X_{1} \times X_{2}$. Consider the following space:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{1+2}(R)=\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right\} / \sim
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are $R$-points of $X$, each $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ a principal $G$-bundle on $\Delta_{12}, f_{i}$ is a trivialization of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ on $\Delta_{12}^{i}$, and $v_{i}$ is an $N$-section of $\mathcal{E}_{i}$ such that $f_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)$ extends to $\Delta_{12}$. It is constructed as

$$
\mathcal{R}_{1+2}=\mathcal{R}_{12}^{1} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{2}
$$

a reasonably nice ind-scheme over $X_{1} \times X_{2}$. It is of ind-finite codimension in the formally smooth reasonably nice ind-scheme

$$
\mathcal{T}_{1+2}=\mathcal{T}_{12}^{1} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathcal{T}_{12}^{2}=\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}\right)\right\} / \sim
$$

There is a map

$$
\alpha: \mathcal{R}_{1+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}
$$

given by restricting $\mathcal{E}_{i}, f_{i}, v_{i}$ to $\Delta_{i} \subset \Delta_{12}$. Over the diagonal $X \subset X_{1} \times X_{2}$, this map $\alpha$ is an isomorphism. But on the complement $U$ of the diagonal, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\left.\mathcal{R}_{1+2}\right|_{U}=\left.\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\right|_{U} \times\left._{U}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U}
$$

and $\alpha$ is just the projection. Nonetheless, $\alpha$ is ind-pro-smooth. Indeed, it is the product over $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ of maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{12}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times X_{2} \\
& \mathcal{R}_{12}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2} \times X_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

so it suffices to see that the former is ind-pro-smooth. But note that we can write

$$
\mathcal{T}_{1}^{1} \times X_{2}=G_{1}^{1} \frac{\times_{X_{1}}}{G_{1}} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times X_{2}=G_{12}^{1} \frac{\times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}}}{G_{12}} \mathbf{N}_{1}
$$

where $G_{12}$ acts on $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ via the homomorphism $G_{12} \rightarrow G_{1}$. Then, the natural map

$$
\mathcal{T}_{12}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{1}^{1} \times X_{2}
$$

is that associated to the pro-smooth map $\mathbf{N}_{12} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{1}$, so is ind-pro-smooth. The fact that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{R}_{12}^{1} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times X_{2} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{T}_{12}^{1} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{1}^{1} \times X_{2}
\end{array}
$$

is Cartesian gives the result. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{*} \omega_{\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right] \cong \omega_{\mathcal{R}_{1+2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{12}\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}, \mathcal{T}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{T}_{2}^{2}$ are acted on factor-wise by $G_{1} \times G_{2}$, which receives the factor-wise map from $G_{12} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12}$. This latter group also acts in the natural way on $\mathcal{R}_{1+2}, \mathcal{T}_{1+2}$, and the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{R}_{1+2} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{T}_{1+2} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{T}_{2}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

is $G_{12} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12}$-equivariant. This action preserves the inductive structure of the diagram, and also the locally nice structure of each closed piece, which allows us to view the appropriately shifted dualizing complex on each space as $G_{12} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12^{-}}$ equivariant. We may thus define the shifted equivariant Borel-Moore homologies:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{1}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right), \\
H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{12} \times X_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right), \\
H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times X_{1} \times X_{2} \times X_{12}}^{B M, G_{12} \times \mathbf{N}_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1+2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

as the colimits of the equivariant cohomologies of the appropriately shifted dualizing complexes on the various finite-dimensional approximations. We have maps
$H_{*-2}^{B M, G_{1} \times G_{2}} \operatorname{dim}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{12} \times x_{1} \times x_{2} G_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times x_{1} \times x_{2}}^{B M, G_{12} \times x_{12} \times x_{2} G_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1+2}\right)$.
The first map is the restriction of the equivariant structure, while the second is induced by $\alpha^{*}$, using equation 2.1. This is the first step of our global convolution story.
2.3. Let's define the remaining parts of the global convolution diagram. We set

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}=\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}, g_{1}\right)\right\} / \sim
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ are as in $\mathcal{R}_{1+2}$, and $g_{1}$ is a trivialization of $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ (on $\Delta_{12}$ ) required to satisfy:

$$
g_{1} v_{1}=f_{2} v_{2}
$$

Note that $v_{1}$ is determined by the rest of the data as $v_{1}=g_{1}^{-1} f_{2} v_{2}$. That is, $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}$ is related to

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1+2}:=\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2}, v_{2}, g_{1}\right)\right\} / \sim=G_{12}^{1} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{2}
$$

by the Cartesian square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2} & \rightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1+2} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{R}_{12}^{1} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{12}^{1}
\end{array}
$$

where the rightmost downward arrow is the composition

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1+2}=G_{12}^{1} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{2} \rightarrow G_{12}^{1} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{12} \rightarrow G_{12}^{1} \frac{\times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}}}{G_{12}} \mathbf{N}_{12}=\mathcal{T}_{12}^{1}
$$

We have factor-wise actions of $G_{12} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1+2}$, such that the Cartesian diagram

\[

\]

is equivariant. In terms of points, the left-hand $G_{12}$ acts by changing the trivialization $f_{1}$, while the right-hand factor acts by changing simultaneously the trivializations $g_{1}, f_{2} ; \beta$ is the map which simply forgets $g_{1}$. The right-hand $G_{12}$ acts freely, and the quotient space is

$$
\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}=\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1}, g_{1}^{-1} f_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right\} / \sim
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1}, v_{1}$ are as in $\mathcal{R}_{1+2}$, while $g_{1}^{-1} f_{2}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ over $\Delta_{12}^{2}$, and $v_{2}$ is an $N$-section of $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ such that $g_{1}^{-1} f_{2} v_{2}$ extends to $\Delta_{12}$ and is equal to $v_{1}$ there (again $v_{1}$ is determined by the rest of the data). We write

$$
\gamma: \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}
$$

for the projection. It is ind-pro-smooth. Finally, we have a natural map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta: \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2} & \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}_{12}^{12}=\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{E}, f, v\right)\right\} / \sim \\
\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1}, g_{1}^{-1} f_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right) & \mapsto
\end{aligned}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{E}_{2}, f_{1} g_{1}^{-1} f_{2}, v_{2}\right) .
$$

Note that $\delta$ factors as $\delta=\delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime \prime}$ where $\delta^{\prime \prime}: \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2} \rightarrow \bullet$ is an ind-closed embedding of finite codimension and $\delta^{\prime}: \bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{12}^{12}$ is defined by the Cartesian square

where the bottom row is simply the top row for $\mathbf{N}=0$, and the vertical maps forget $v_{1}, v_{2}, v$. It is well-known that $d$ is ind-projective; this fact shows up already in [4] and essentially follows from Lemma 1.3. It follows that $\delta$ is also ind-projective, meaning that in each piece of the inductive structure, $\delta$ is Zariski-locally of the form

$$
Y \times \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{f \times i d} Z \times \mathbb{A}
$$

for $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ a projective map between schemes of finite type, and $\mathbb{A}$ some affine space of countable dimension. In fact, $\delta$ is an isomorphism over $U$, while over the diagonal its fibers are products of closed subvarieties of affine Grassmannians. Furthermore, $\delta$ is $G_{12}$-equivariant.
2.4. The global convolution diagram is

$$
\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2} \stackrel{\alpha}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{R}_{1+2} \stackrel{\beta}{\leftarrow} \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2} \stackrel{\delta}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{12} .
$$

As we have explained, $\alpha, \beta$ are $G_{12} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12}$-equivariant, $\gamma$ is the quotient map by the free action of the right-hand $G_{12}$, and $\delta$ is equivariant for the remaining copy of $G_{12}$. We have already explained how $\alpha$ defines a map

$$
\alpha^{*}: H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times x_{x_{1} \times x_{2}}}^{B M, G_{12} \times X_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1+2}\right) .
$$

Everything else works out essentially as in [1], as we now indicate. First, recall the $G_{12} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12}$-equivariant Cartesian diagram 2.2:

and recall that $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1+2}$ is nothing other than $G_{12}^{1} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{2}$. Thus we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & =p r_{1} b \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} p r_{2} b \\
p r_{1} b & =\psi \phi \\
p r_{2} b & =p r_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have factored $p r_{1} b$ as

$$
G_{12}^{1} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{2} \xrightarrow{\phi} G_{12}^{1} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{12} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{T}_{12}^{1} .
$$

It follows that

$$
b^{*} \omega_{\mathcal{T}_{12}^{1} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{12}\right] \cong \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{1+2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12}\right]
$$

and hence by base change we have have a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{*} \omega_{\mathcal{R}_{1+2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{12}\right] \rightarrow \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This map is equivariant, and it therefore determines a 'pullback with support' map:

$$
\left.\beta^{*}: H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times X_{1} \times X_{2} \mathbf{N}_{12}}^{B M, G_{12} \times X_{1} \times X_{2} G_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1+2}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times X_{1} \times X_{2}}^{B M, G_{12} \times X_{12}}{ }_{\left(X_{1} G_{12}\right.}^{B, 2}\right) .
$$

Since it is a $G_{12}$-torsor, $\gamma$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\gamma^{*}: H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12}}^{B M, G_{12}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times x_{1} \times x_{2}}^{B M, G_{12} \times X_{12}}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}\right) .
$$

Finally since it is ind-proper and equivariant, $\delta$ induces a map

$$
\delta_{*}: H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12}}^{B M, G_{12}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12}}^{B M, G_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{12}^{12}\right)
$$

2.5. Recall that (dual) specialization maps commute with pullbacks along smooth maps and pushforwards along proper maps, and are compatible with equivariance with respect to smooth group schemes. Therefore, since every space in sight is a reasonably nice ind-scheme and the groups $G_{S}$ are pro-smooth over $X^{S}$, we have
(dual) specialization maps to the diagonal $X_{0} \subset X_{1} \times X_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}: \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times x_{0} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0} \times x_{0} G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0} \times{ }_{X_{0}} \mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right) \\
& s_{2}: \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{12} \times X_{1} \times X_{1} G_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times x_{0} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0} \times_{x_{0}} G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0} \times{ }_{X} \mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right) \\
& s_{3}: \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times X_{1} \times X_{2} \mathbf{N}_{12}}^{\left.B M, G_{12} \times X_{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{1+2}\right)} \rightarrow \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times X_{0} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0} \times X_{0} G_{0} \mathcal{N}_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0} \times{ }_{X_{0}} \mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right) \\
& s_{4}: \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times X_{1} \times x_{2} G_{12}}^{B M, G_{12} \times X_{1} \times X_{2} G_{12}}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad H_{*-2}^{B M, G_{0} \times x_{0} G_{0}} \begin{array}{l}
\text { dim } \mathbf{N}_{0} \times x_{0} G_{0} \\
\mathcal{R}_{0}
\end{array}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0}\right) \\
& s_{5}: \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12}}^{B M, G_{12}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{0}\right) \\
& s_{6}: \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12}}^{B M, G_{12}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{12}^{12}\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0}, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{0}$ are respectively locally trivial $p^{-1}(\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R}), q\left(p^{-1}(\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R})\right)$-bundles over $X_{0}$ in the notations of diagram (3.2) in the main paper. In fact, the restriction of the convolution diagram to $X_{0}$ induces the following maps between the targets of the specialization maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times x_{0} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0} \times x_{0} G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0} \times_{X_{0}} \mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right) \quad \xrightarrow{i d} \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times x_{0} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0} \times x_{0} G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0} \times X_{0} \mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{i d} \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times_{X_{0}} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0} \times X_{0} G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0} \times_{X_{0}} \mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\beta_{0}^{*}} \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{~N}_{0} \times x_{0} G_{0}}^{B M, G_{0} x_{0} G_{0}}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\left(\gamma_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}} \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times_{x_{0}} G_{0}}^{B M, G_{0} x_{x_{0}} G_{0}}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{0}\right)_{*}} \quad H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

I claim that the maps $\alpha^{*}, \beta^{*},\left(\gamma^{*}\right)^{-1}, \delta_{*}$ are intertwined with $i d, \beta_{0}^{*},\left(\gamma_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1},\left(\delta_{0}\right)_{*}$ by the (dual) specialization maps. For $\alpha^{*},\left(\gamma^{*}\right)^{-1}$ it is a consequence of ind-prosmoothness of $\alpha, \gamma$ (and also pro-smoothness of $G_{12}$ ). For $\delta_{*}$ it is a consequence of ind-properness. For $\beta^{*}$, it is because the map

$$
\left(\beta_{0}\right)^{*} \omega_{\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0} \times x_{0} \mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times_{X_{0}} \mathbf{N}_{0}\right] \rightarrow \omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times_{X_{0}} G_{0}\right]
$$

defined using the Cartesian square:

obtained by restricting diagram 2.2 to $X_{0}$, factors as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\beta_{0}\right)^{*} \omega_{\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0} \times{ }_{X_{0}} \mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times_{X_{0}} \mathbf{N}_{0}\right] \cong\left(\beta_{0}\right)^{*} i_{1}^{!} \omega_{\mathcal{R}_{1+2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{12}+2\right] \\
& \xrightarrow{\text { can }} \quad i_{2}^{!} \beta^{*} \omega_{\mathcal{R}_{1+2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{12}+2\right] \\
& \begin{array}{cc}
\xrightarrow{i_{2}^{!}[2](2.3)} \quad & i^{!} \omega_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1+2}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{12} \times{ }_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} G_{12}+2\right] \\
\omega_{\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0}}\left[-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0} \times{ }_{X_{0}} G_{0}\right] .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here can is the canonical map arising from the base change isomorphism, (2.3) denotes the map of equation 2.3, and $i_{1}, i_{2}$ denote the appropriate inclusions of the diagonal subspaces. The consequence is the following formula:
$s_{6} \delta_{*}\left(\gamma^{*}\right)^{-1} \beta^{*} \alpha^{*}=\left(\delta_{0}\right)_{*}\left(\gamma_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1} \beta_{0}^{*} s_{1}: H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right)$.
2.6. Now each (dual) specialization map $s_{n}$ factors as $s_{n}^{\prime} j_{n}^{*}$ where $j_{n}^{*}$ is the restriction map to the equivariant Borel-Moore homology of the part lying over $U$, and $s_{n}^{\prime}$ is some other map. Furthermore, the restriction of the convolution diagram to $U$ induces the following maps between the targets of the restriction maps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{*-\left.2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U}}^{\left.\left.B M,\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\left.\right|_{U}\right)} \\
& \rightarrow \quad H_{*-2}^{B M,\left.\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U} \times\left.\left.{ }^{2}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U}}\left(\left.\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\right|_{U}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\rightarrow \quad H_{*-\left.2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U} \times\left.{ }_{U}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U}}^{\left.B M,\left(G_{1} \times\right)_{2}\right)}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U} \times\left.{ }_{U}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\right|_{U}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \quad H_{*-\left.2 \operatorname{dim}\left(\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times x_{1} G_{1}\right) \times\left(G_{2} \times X_{2} \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right)\right|_{U}}^{B M,\left(\left(G_{1} \times X_{1} G_{1}\right)\left(G_{2} \times x^{2} G_{2}\right)\right){ }^{2}}\left(\left.\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \times\left(G_{2} \times X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\right|_{U}\right) \\
& \left.\rightarrow \quad H_{*-2}^{B M,\left.\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U}}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U}\left(\left.\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\right|_{U}\right) \\
& \left.\rightarrow \quad H_{*-2}^{B M,\left.\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U}}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U}\left(\left.\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\right|_{U}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us explain what each map does:
(1) The first map views any $\left.\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U}$-equivariant class as also equivariant for the trivial actions of the left-hand copy of $G_{2}$, and the right-hand copy of $G_{1}$, in $\left.\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U} \times\left._{U}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U}$.
(2) The second map pulls this back along the $\left.\left(\mathbf{N}_{2} \times \mathbf{N}_{1}\right)\right|_{U}$-bundle map (i.e. multiplies fiberwise by the equivariant fundamental class of $\mathbf{N}(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbf{N}(\mathcal{O}))$.
(3) The third map starts by rewriting $\left.\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\right)\right|_{U} \times\left._{U}\left(\mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\right|_{U}$ as $\left(\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times X_{1} \mathbf{N}_{1}\right) \times\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathbf{N}_{2} \times X_{2} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\left.\right|_{U}$, and rewriting the action of $\left.\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U} \times\left.{ }_{U}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|_{U}$ as one of $\left.\left(\left(G_{1} \times_{X_{1}} G_{1}\right) \times\left(G_{2} \times_{X_{2}} G_{2}\right)\right)\right|_{U}$. By definition, $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}$ is the locally trivial $p^{-1}(\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R})$-bundle on $X_{1}$ given as

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}=\mathbf{N}_{1} \times_{\mathbf{N}_{1}^{1}}\left(G_{1}^{1} \times_{X_{1}} \mathbf{N}_{1}\right)
$$

The $G_{1} \times{ }_{X_{1}} G_{1}$-equivariant map from here to $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times{ }_{X_{1}} \mathbf{N}_{1}$ is given as the product (over $X_{1}$ ) of the quotient by the right-hand copy of $G_{1}$ with the projection to the right-hand copy of $\mathbf{N}_{1}$. The 'pullback with support' map

$$
H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times_{X_{1}} \mathbf{N}_{1}}^{\left.\left.B M, G_{1} \times_{X_{1}} \mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times{ }_{X_{1}} \mathbf{N}_{1}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times_{X_{1}} G_{1}}^{B M, G_{1} \times_{X_{1}} G_{1}}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\right)\right)}
$$

corresponds to the composition of usual 'pullback with support' (spread out over $\left.X_{1}\right)$ with multiplication by $H_{G_{1}}^{*}\left(X_{1}\right)$ under the identification

$$
H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times X_{1} \mathbf{N}_{1}}^{B M, G_{1} \times_{X_{1}} G_{1}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times_{X_{1}} \mathbf{N}_{1}\right)=H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1}}^{B M, G_{1}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1}\right) \otimes_{H^{*}\left(X_{1}\right)} H_{G_{1}}^{*}\left(X_{1}\right)
$$

Meanwhile, the 'pullback with support' (actually, here no support is required) map

$$
H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{2} \times_{X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{2} \times_{X_{2}} G_{2}}\left(\mathbf{N}_{2} \times_{X_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} G_{2} \times_{X_{2}} \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{2} \times X_{x_{2}} G_{2}}\left(G_{2} \times_{X_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

is isomorphic simply to the multiplication map

$$
H_{G_{2}}^{*}\left(X_{2}\right) \otimes_{H^{*}\left(X_{2}\right)} H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{2}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{2}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

(4) The fourth map is the isomorphism, and the fifth is the identity.

The result is that the composition of all these maps is the identity. On the other hand, since the restriction maps $j_{n}^{*}$ intertwine these maps with the corresponding
maps on the $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ level, we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\delta_{0}\right)_{*}\left(\gamma_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1} \beta_{0}^{*} s_{1} & =s_{6} \delta_{*}\left(\gamma^{*}\right)^{-1} \beta^{*} \alpha^{*} \\
& =s_{6}^{\prime} j_{6}^{*} \delta_{*}\left(\gamma^{*}\right)^{-1} \beta^{*} \alpha^{*} \\
& =s_{6}^{\prime} j_{1}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.7. Finally, note that this last map $s_{6}^{\prime} j_{1}^{*}$ is symmetric with respect to the automorphism $\tau$ of $H_{*-2}^{B M, G_{1} \times G_{2}} \operatorname{dim}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)$ induced by the degree 2 automorphisms of $G_{1} \times G_{2}, \mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}$ which switch the factors (and also exchange 1 with 2 ). Therefore, $\left(\delta_{0}\right)_{*}\left(\gamma_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1} \beta_{0}^{*} s_{1}$ has the same property. But, taking $X=\mathbb{C}$, we identify the domain

$$
H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{1} \times \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{B M, G_{1} \times G_{2}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathcal{R}_{2}^{2}\right)=H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}(\mathcal{O})}^{B M, G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{R}) \otimes H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}(\mathcal{O})}^{B M, G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{R})
$$

and the target

$$
H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}_{0}}^{B M, G_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}^{0}\right)=H_{*-2 \operatorname{dim} \mathbf{N}(\mathcal{O})}^{B M, G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{R}) .
$$

The map $\left(\delta_{0}\right)_{*}\left(\gamma_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1} \beta_{0}^{*} s_{1}$ is the usual convolution ( $s_{1}$ is an isomorphism) while $\tau$ is the standard twist. Therefore, the Coulomb branch is commutative as claimed.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Only for $S$ of cardinality 1 or 2 ; but it clarifies the picture and simplifies the exposition to work more generally at this point.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Warning: this doesn't apply to $X$ !
    ${ }^{3}$ In [2] this is relaxed to 'Nisnevich-locally'.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ It is a priori defined only on $\Delta_{S}^{S^{\prime}}$. The extension is necessarily unique.

